	Case 2:02-cv-01608-MCE-GGH Document 77 Filed 06/08/05 Page 1 of 1
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11	JAMES V. CAICO,
12	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-02-1608 MCE GGH P
13	vs.
14	D.L. RUNNEL, et al.,
15	Defendants. <u>ORDER</u>
16	
17	On May 3, 2005, plaintiff filed a declaration. All applications to the court for
18	orders must be made by motion. Fed. R. Civ. P. 7(b). To the extent the declaration contains a
19	request for a court order, it is disregarded for failing to comply with Rule 7(b).
20	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's May 3, 2005, declaration
21	is disregarded.
22	DATED: 6/7/05
23	/s/ Gregory G. Hollows
24	GREGORY G. HOLLOWS
25	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
26	cai1608.den
	1